# Non-Separable Cryptographic Functions 

Yuliang Zheng ${ }^{\dagger}$ and Xian-Mo Zhang $^{\ddagger}$<br>${ }^{\dagger}$ School of Network Computing<br>Monash University<br>Melbourne, VIC 3199, Australia<br>Email: yuliang.zheng@infotech.monash.edu.au<br>$\ddagger$ School of Info. Tech. \& Comp. Sci. University of Wollongong<br>Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia<br>Email: xianmo@cs.uow.edu.au


#### Abstract

We study nonlinear Boolean functions that are used in cryptography, especially in block and stream ciphers. We point out possible cryptographic weaknesses of the so-called separable functions. A characteristic of these functions is that they can be transformed into ones that are composed of two "sub-functions" with disjoint variables. We then proceed to construct non-separable functions that exhibit additional useful cryptographic properties such as balance, high nonlinearity, correlation immunity, and good propagation characteristics.


## 1. Introduction

A functions on $V_{n}$ is a mapping from $V_{n}$ to $G F(2)$ where $V_{n}$ is the vector space of $n$ tuples of elements from $G F(2)$. We write a function $f$ on $V_{n}$ as $f(x)$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the variable vector in $V_{n}$. The truth table of a function $f$ on $V_{n}$ is a $(0,1)$ sequence defined by $\left(f\left(\alpha_{0}\right), f\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(\alpha_{2^{n}-1}\right)\right)$, and the sequence of $f$ is a $(1,-1)$-sequence defined by $\left((-1)^{f\left(\alpha_{0}\right)},(-1)^{f\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}, \ldots,(-1)^{f\left(\alpha_{2^{n}-1}\right)}\right)$.
$f$ is said to be balanced if its truth table contains an equal number of ones and zeros. We point out that balance is one of the most basic requirements of Boolean functions used in cryptography.

An affine function $f$ on $V_{n}$ is a function that takes the form of $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=a_{1} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{n} x_{n} \oplus c$, where $a_{j}, c \in G F(2), j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Furthermore $f$ is called a linear function if $c=0$.

The Hamming weight of a $(0,1)$ sequence, denoted by $H W(\xi)$, is the number of ones in the sequence. Given two functions $f$ and $g$ on $V_{n}$, the Hamming distance $d(f, g)$ between them is defined as the Hamming weight of the truth table of $f(x) \oplus g(x)$.

The nonlinearity of a function $f$ on $V_{n}$, denoted by $N_{f}$, is the minimal Hamming distance between $f$ and all affine functions on $V_{n}$, i.e., $N_{f}=$ $\min _{i=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n+1}-1} d\left(f, \varphi_{i}\right)$, where $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{2^{n+1}-1}$ are all the affine functions on $V_{n} . N_{f}$ is upper bounded
by $2^{n-1}-2^{\frac{1}{2} n-1}$. We note that nonlinearity is an important cryptographic criterion, and a high nonlinearity is a prerequisite to resist linear cryptanalytic attacks.

We say that $f$ satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to $\alpha$ if $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$ is a balanced function. Furthermore $f$ is said to satisfy the propagation criterion of degree $k$ if it satisfies the propagation criterion with respect to every non-zero vector $\alpha$ whose Hamming weight is not larger than $k$ (see [6]). The strict avalanche criterion (SAC) [9] is identical to the propagation criterion of degree one. As yet another important nonlinearity criterion, good propagation characteristics are used to resist differential cryptanalytic attacks.

The concept of correlation immune functions was introduced by Siegenthaler [8]. Xiao and Massey gave an equivalent definition [4]: a function $f$ on $V_{n}$ is called a $k$ th-order correlation immune function if it satisfies the condition of $\sum_{x \in V_{n}} f(x)(-1)^{\langle\beta, x\rangle}=0$ for all $\beta \in V_{n}$ with $1 \leq H W(\beta) \leq k$, where in the the sum, $f(x)$ and $\langle\beta, x\rangle$ are regarded as real-valued functions. Correlation immune functions are used in the design of running-key generators in stream ciphers that resist against correlation attacks. Let $\xi$ denote the sequence of $f$. Then from Section 4.2 of [2], a function on $V_{n}$ is $k$ th-order correlation immune function if and only if $\langle\xi, \ell\rangle=0$ for every $\ell$, the sequence of a linear function $\varphi(x)=\langle\alpha, x\rangle$ on $V_{n}$ constrained by $1 \leq H W(\alpha) \leq k$.

A vector $\alpha$ in $V_{n}$ is called a linear structure of a function $f$ on $V_{n}$ if $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$ is a constant. It is easy to verify that the set of all linear structures of a function $f$ form a linear subspace of $V_{n}$, whose dimension is called the linearity of $f$. We note that non-zero linear structures are considered cryptographically undesirable.

## 2. Separable and Non-Separable Functions

Definition $1 A$ function $f$ on $V_{n}$ is said to be separable
if there exist an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix $B$ over $G F(2)$ and an integer $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ such that $f(x B)=$ $g(y) \oplus h(z)$ where $x=(y, z), y \in V_{p}, z \in V_{n-p}, g$ is a function on $V_{p}$ and $h$ is a function on $V_{n-p}$. Otherwise the function is said to be non-separable.

In particular, if $g$ or $h$ is an affine function, then $f$, undesirably, must have non-zero linear structures. One also notices that for $n>2$, all quadratic functions on $V_{n}$ are separable.

Write $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right)$ and $z=\left(z_{p+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$. We can see that with a separable function $f, y_{i}$ and $z_{j}$, where $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $p+1 \leq j \leq n$, do not appear in the same term in the algebraic normal form of the function $f(x B)$. The function $f$ is regarded cryptographically weak, in light of the following observation which indicates that the function remains constant with respect to "double" difference. The "double" difference is closely related to differential attacks on block ciphers discovered by Biham and Shamir [1].

Theorem 1 function $f$ on $V_{n}$ is separable if and only if there exists an integer $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq n-1$, a $p$-dimensional linear subspace $W$ of $V_{n}$ and a complementary subspace $U$ in $V_{n}$ such that for every non-zero vector $\alpha \in W$ and every non-zero vector $\alpha^{\prime} \in U$, we have $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$.

From these discussions, it becomes obvious that a nonseparable function will never have a non-zero linear structure. We further observe that the separability of a function is invariant under any nonsingular linear transformation on the variables.

Consider a function $f$ on $V_{n}$ whose algebraic degree is $n$. As the algebraic degree of a function is invariant under a nonsingular linear transformation on the variables, from Definition 1, we can see that $f$ is non-separable. Next we consider the case where $f$ has an algebraic degree of smaller than $n$. Set $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \oplus x_{1} \cdots x_{n}$. Then resultant new function $g$ is a degree $n$ function. Following the discussions above, $g$ is non-separable. Note that
$g(\alpha)= \begin{cases}f(\alpha) & \text { if } \alpha \neq(1, \ldots, 1) \\ 1 \oplus f(\alpha) & \text { if } \alpha=(1, \ldots, 1)\end{cases}$
Thus we have $d(g, f)=1$. Let $\psi$ be an affine function on $V_{n}$. Then we have $d(g, \psi)+d(g, f) \geq d(f, \psi)$. Hence $d(g, \psi)+d(g, f) \geq N_{f}$. Since $\psi$ is arbitrary, we have shown that $N_{g} \geq N_{f}-1$.

While the above discussions show that constructing highly nonlinear non-separable functions from an existing highly nonlinear, not necessarily non-separable, function is easy, we encounter a problem with the balance of the resultant function $g$. Since $g$ is a function on $V_{n}$ whose algebraic degree is $n$, we have the term
$x_{1} \cdots x_{n}$ appearing in the algebraic normal form of $g$. Thus $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in V_{n}} g(\alpha)=1$ (see p. 372 of [5]). This means that $g$ is unbalanced, which renders the function useless in many cryptographic applications. Furthermore we should point out that a very high algebraic degree may contradict other cryptographic requirements, such as correlation immunity. These considerations motivate us to investigate methods for systematically constructing non-separable functions that satisfy various other cryptographic requirements such as balance, high nonlinearity, good propagation characteristics and high correlation immunity. This problem is addressed in the next section.

## 3. Constructing Non-Separable Functions

First we give a sufficient condition for non-separable functions.

Theorem 2 Let $f$ be a function on $V_{n}$, and $W$ be a $p$-dimensional linear subspace of $V_{n}$, where $p>\frac{n}{2}$. If for every two non-zero vectors $\alpha \in W$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \notin W$, we have $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, then $f$ is non-separable.

Proof. We prove the theorem by contradiction. For the sake of convenience, we write $\lambda_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}(x)=f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus$ $\alpha) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right)$. Assume for contradiction that $f$ satisfying the property in the theorem is separable. From Theorem 1, there exist a $q$-dimensional linear subspace $W^{*}$ of $V^{n}$, where $1 \leq q \leq n-1$, and a complementary subspace $U^{*}$ of $W^{*}$ in $V_{n}$, such that for every non-zero vector $\beta^{*} \in W^{*}$ and every non-zero vector $\gamma^{*} \in U^{*}$, we have $\lambda_{\beta^{*}, \gamma^{*}}(x)=0$. Since $W^{*}$ and $U^{*}$ are complementary to each other, the dimension of $U^{*}$ is $n-q$. Note that either $q \geq \frac{1}{2} n$ or $n-q \geq \frac{1}{2} n$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $q \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Since $W$ and $W^{*}$ have different properties, we have $W^{*} \neq W$. Hence there exists a vector $\beta^{* *}$ such that $\beta^{* *} \in W^{*}$ but $\beta^{* *} \notin W$. Furthermore, there must exist a nonzero vector $\beta^{* * *} \in W \cap W^{*}$. Two cases should be considered: $U^{*} \nsubseteq W$ and $U^{*} \subseteq W$.

With the case of $U^{*} \nsubseteq W$, there exists a non-zero vector $\gamma^{* *} \in U^{*}$ but $\gamma^{* *} \notin W$. From the property of $W$, we have $\lambda_{\beta^{* * *}, \gamma^{* *}}(x) \neq 0$. But, from the property of $W^{*}$, we should have $\lambda_{\beta^{* * *}, \gamma^{* *}}(x)=0$ instead. Thus we have a contradiction.

With the case of $U^{*} \subseteq W$, there must exist a nonzero vector $\alpha^{* *} \in U^{*} \subseteq W$. Similarly to the previous case, we also a contradiction, namely $\lambda_{\alpha^{* *}, \beta^{* *}}(x) \neq 0$ according to $W$, but $\lambda_{\alpha^{* *}, \beta^{* *}}(x)=0$ according to $W^{*}$. Hence we have proved that $f$ is indeed non-separable.

The following result will be useful in constructing
non-separable functions.
Theorem 3 Let $g$ and $h$ be two functions on $V_{n-1}$ satisfying
(i) $g$ has no non-zero linear structures,
(ii) for any $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in V_{n-1}$, if $g(x) \oplus g(x \oplus \beta) \oplus g(x \oplus$ $\left.\beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus g\left(x \oplus \beta \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right)=0$, then $h(x) \oplus h(x \oplus \beta) \oplus h(x \oplus$ $\left.\beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus h\left(x \oplus \beta \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right)=c$, where $c$ is a constant.

Then $f(x)=x_{1} g(y) \oplus h(y)$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, is a non-separable function on $V_{n}$.

Proof. Let $W=\left\{\left(0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \mid\left(0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in V_{n}\right\}$. Note that $W$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace of $V_{n}$ For any non-zero $\alpha \in W$ and any $\alpha^{\prime} \notin W$, we can write $\alpha=(0, \beta)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}=\left(1, \beta^{\prime}\right)$, where $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in V_{n-1}$ and $\beta \neq 0$. We now show that with $W$ thus defined, $f$ satisfies the condition in Theorem 2. We notice that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha\right)=x_{1}(g(y) \oplus$ $\left.g(y \oplus \beta) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta\right)\right) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus g(y \oplus$ $\left.\beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta\right) \oplus h(y) \oplus h(y \oplus \beta) \oplus h\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus h\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta\right)$.

There exist two cases to be considered: $g(y) \oplus g(y \oplus$ $\beta) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta\right) \neq 0$ and $g(y) \oplus g(y \oplus \beta) \oplus$ $g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta\right)=0$.

In the first case, it is obvious that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus$ $f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha\right) \neq 0$.

In the other case, considering the second condition in the theorem, we have $h(y) \oplus h(y \oplus \beta) \oplus h\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus h(y \oplus$ $\left.\beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta\right)=c$, where $c$ is constant. Hence $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus$ $f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha\right)=g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta\right) \oplus c$. Since $g$ has no non-zero linear structures and $\beta \neq 0$, $g\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right) \oplus g\left(y \oplus \beta \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right)$ cannot be a constant. This proves that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha\right) \neq 0$. Noticing that the dimension of $W$ is $n-1>\frac{1}{2} n$, we have proved that $f$ does satisfy the condition in Theorem 2. This proves the theorem.

Next we introduce an auxiliary tool to be used in the description of methods for constructing non-separable functions.

Lemma 1 Let $\chi(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x}^{p} \oplus a_{p-1} \mathbf{x}^{p-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{1} \mathbf{x} \oplus a_{0}$ be a primitive polynomial of degree $p$ over $G F(2)$. From $\chi$, we define a $p \times p$ matrix $\Gamma$ over $G F(2)$ as follows:

$$
\Gamma=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{0} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{1} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{p-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then we have
(i) $\Gamma^{2^{p}-1}=I$, where $I$ denotes the $p \times p$ identity matrix, and $\Gamma^{k} \neq I$, for all $k$ with $0 \leq k \leq 2^{p}-2$,
(ii) each $\Gamma^{k}$ is a non-zero linear combination of $\Gamma^{0}, \Gamma^{1}, \ldots, \Gamma^{p-1}$, where $\Gamma^{0}=I$, and each nonzero linear combination of $\Gamma^{0}, \Gamma^{1}, \ldots, \Gamma^{p-1}$ is identified with $a \Gamma^{k}, 0 \leq k \leq 2^{p}-2$.

Let $\tau_{0}$ be an arbitrary non-zero vector in $V_{p}$. Define vector $\tau_{k}$ as $\tau_{k}=\tau_{0} \tau^{k}, k=0,1,2 \ldots$ The following theorem demonstrates how to construct highly nonlinear, balanced, non-separable functions that also exhibit a good propagation characteristic.

Theorem 4 Let $p$ and $s$ be integers with $0<s<p$, and $P$ be a mapping from $V_{s}$ to $V_{p}$ defined by $P(\delta)=\tau_{k}$, where $\delta \in V_{s}$ is the binary representation of an integer $k, k=0,1, \ldots, 2^{s}-1$. Define a function $f$ on $V_{s+p}$ as follows: $f(x)=f(y, z)=P(y) z^{T}$, where $x=(y, z)$, $y \in V_{s}$ and $z \in V_{p}$. Then $f$ satisfies the following properties:
(i) $f$ is non-separable,
(ii) $f$ is balanced,
(iii) the nonlinearity of $f$ satisfies $N_{f}=2^{s+p-1}-$ $2^{p-1}$,
(iv) there exists an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix $B$ over $G F(2)$ such that $g(x)=f(x B)$ satisfies the $S A C$.

Proof. First we define a subspace $W$ of $V_{s+p}$ by $W=\left\{\left(0, \ldots, 0, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p}\right) \mid\left(0, \ldots, 0, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.V_{s+p}\right\}$, where each $b_{j} \in G F(2)$. Let $\alpha \in W$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \notin W$ be two non-zero vectors. Write $\alpha=(0, \gamma)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}=\left(\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, where 0 denotes the zero vector in $V_{s}, \gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in V_{p}, \beta^{\prime} \in V_{s}, \gamma \neq 0$ and $\beta^{\prime} \neq 0$. We notice that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha\right)=$ $\left(P(y) \oplus P\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \gamma^{T}$. Since $\beta^{\prime} \neq 0$, from Lemma 1, we have $P(y) \neq P\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right)$, as well as the fact that $P(y) \oplus P\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right)$ is nonsingular. As $\gamma \neq 0$, we conclude that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime}\right) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \alpha^{\prime} \oplus \alpha\right)=$ $\left(P(y) \oplus P\left(y \oplus \beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \gamma^{T} \neq 0$. Considering the dimension $p$ of $W$ satisfying $p>\frac{1}{2}(s+p)$, and Theorem 2, we have proved (i).

For a fixed $\delta \in V_{s}$, since $P(\delta) \neq 0, f(\delta, z)=P(\delta) z^{T}$ is a non-zero linear function on $V_{p}$ and hence it is balanced. We have now proved (ii).
(iii) follows from Theorem 5 of [3].

Finally, let $\alpha=(\beta, \gamma)$ where $\beta \in V_{s}, \gamma \in V_{p}$ and $\beta \neq$ 0 . Notice that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)=P(y) z^{T} \oplus P(y \oplus \beta)(z \oplus$ $\gamma)^{T}=(P(y) \oplus P(y \oplus \beta)) z^{T} \oplus P(y \oplus \beta) \gamma^{T}$. For each fixed $\delta \in V_{s}$, since $P(\delta) \oplus P(\delta \oplus \beta) \neq 0,(P(\delta) \oplus P(\delta \oplus \beta)) z^{T}$ is a non-zero linear function on $V_{p}$, and hence it is balanced. This shows that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$ is balanced when
$\alpha=(\beta, \gamma)$ satisfies $\beta \in V_{s}, \gamma \in V_{p}$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Note that there exist $2^{s+p}-2^{p}$ such vectors as $\alpha=(\beta, \gamma)$ satisfying $\beta \in V_{s}, \gamma \in V_{p}$ and $\beta \neq 0$. This implies that there are at least $2^{s+p}-2^{p}$ non-zero vectors $\alpha$ such that $f(x) \oplus f(x \oplus \alpha)$ is balanced. Since $2^{s+p}-2^{p}>2^{s+p-1}$, by using Theorem 7 of [7], we have proved (iv).

Next we present a method for constructing nonseparable functions that are highly nonlinear, balanced and correlation immune.
Theorem 5 Let $p, s$ and $r$ be integers with $0<s, r<$ p. Set $\mu(p, r)=\binom{p}{1}+\binom{p}{2}+\cdots+\binom{p}{r}$. If $2^{p-s}>1+\mu(p, r)$, then we can find an integer $k_{0}$ with $0<k_{0}<2^{p}-2^{s}$, that allows us to define a mapping $Q$ from $V_{s}$ to $V_{p}$ such that $Q(\delta)=\tau_{k+k_{0}}$, where $\delta \in$ $V_{s}$ and $\delta$ is the binary representation of an integer $k$, $k=0,1, \ldots, 2^{s}-1$. Based on $Q$, we can then construct a function $f(x)=f(y, z)=Q(y) z^{T}$ on $V_{s+p}$, where $x=(y, z), y \in V_{s}$ and $z \in V_{p}$, such that $f$ has the following useful properties:
(i) $f$ is non-separable,
(ii) $f$ is balanced,
(iii) the nonlinearity of $f$ satisfies $N_{f}=2^{s+p-1}-$ $2^{p-1}$, and
(iv) $f$ is an $r$ th-order correlation immune function.

Proof. Set $\Omega=\left\{\gamma \mid \gamma \in V_{p}, 0<H W(\gamma) \leq r\right\}$. Thus $\# \Omega=\mu(p, r)$, where $\# X$ denotes the number of elements in a set $X$. Since $2^{p-s}>1+\mu(p, r)$, one can verify that there exists an integer $k_{0}$ with $0<k_{0}<2^{p}-2^{s}$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\tau_{k_{0}}, \tau_{k_{0}+1}, \ldots, \tau_{k_{0}+2^{s}-1}\right\} \cap \Omega=\emptyset \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\emptyset$ denotes the empty set. Define a mapping $Q$ from $V_{s}$ to $V_{p}$ as $Q(\delta)=\tau_{k+k_{0}}$, where $\delta \in V_{s}$ and $\delta$ is the binary representation of an integer $k$, $k=0,1, \ldots, 2^{s}-1$, and construct a function $f(x)=$ $f(y, z)=Q(y) z^{T}$ on $V_{s+p}$, where $x=(y, z), y \in V_{s}$ and $z \in V_{p}$.

Let $L$ be the sequence of a linear function $\psi$ on $V_{s+p}$, defined by $\psi(x)=\langle\alpha, x\rangle$ where $\alpha=$ $(\beta, \gamma)$ and $x=(y, z), y, \beta \in V_{s}$ and $z, \gamma \in$ $V_{p}$. Hence $\psi(x)=\langle\beta, y\rangle \oplus\langle\gamma, z\rangle$, from which we have $\langle\xi, L\rangle=\sum_{y \in V_{s}, z \in V_{p}}(-1)^{Q(y) z^{T} \oplus\langle\beta, y\rangle \oplus\langle\gamma, z\rangle}=$ $\sum_{y \in V_{s}}(-1)^{\langle\beta, y\rangle} \sum_{z \in V_{p}}(-1)^{(Q(y) \oplus \gamma) z^{T}}$.
Note that if $Q^{-1}(\gamma)$ does not exist, then we have $\sum_{z \in V_{p}}(-1)^{(Q(y) \oplus \gamma) z^{T}}=0$ and hence $\langle\xi, L\rangle=0$. We now consider $L$ with $H W(\alpha) \leq r$. Obviously we have
$H W(\gamma) \leq r$. Due to $(1), Q^{-1}(\gamma)$ does not exist. So we must have $\langle\xi, L\rangle=0$. This proves (iv).

Detailed proofs for Lemma 1 and Theorems 1, together with some other results, will appear in the full version of the paper.
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